



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,177	03/10/2004	Surajit Chatterjee	CITI0318	4052
75127	7590	02/11/2008	EXAMINER	
KING & SPALDING LLP (CITI CUSTOMER NUMBER)			FATEHI, PARHAM R	
ATTN: GEORGE T. MARCOU			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1700 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW			2194	
SUITE 200				
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/11/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,177	CHATTERJEE ET AL.
	Examiner Parham (Paul) R. Fatehi	Art Unit 2194

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 1/22/2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.

13. Other: _____.


WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1. Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. Saare et Al (2005/0015772) is directed toward a system for managing the optimization of applications and resources via a server on a tiered network and these teachings implicitly include a workflow management system. Moreover, it is commonly known in the art, at the time the invention was made, that a workflow is a reliably repeatable pattern of activity enabled by a systematic organization of resources and information flows into a process that can be documented and learned; workflows are designed to achieve processing intents of some sort such as physical transformation, service provision or information processing. In reference to Saare et Al (2005/0015772), one will find that although the word "workflow" is not explicitly mentioned, the invention of a management system is directed to the common knowledge definition of workflows or, alternatively, "in the present application... a procedure, process, or the like... self-consistent sequence of steps leading to a desired result" (see Saare, Par. 26), and therefore, the invention disclosed by Saare et Al is consistent with the common knowledge definition of a workflow management system.
2. Saare et al, par. 27-31, teaches "actions and processes" as "performing data manipulations and/or transformations" and also teaches "functions for performing [actions] desired [associated] tasks" and in par. 27-31, Saare et Al implicitly teaches actions associated with tasks or sub-tasks
3. Pace et Al (2003/0101223) teaches performing an action when a state is encountered (see Par. 383, 670 & 854).
4. The Examiner re-iterates that the arguments are NOT persuasive and the claimed elements in question (based on Applicant's REMARKS 1/22/08), have all been identified.
5. A Request for Continued Examination (RCE), will allow the Examiner to perform further search and consideration and may help move forward the prosecution of this application. Examiner may be reached by telephone at 571-270-1407.